

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,072	WATANABE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tim Heitbrink	1722

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(3) ____.

(2) HJ Stass.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1-18-06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 3-6, 8, 10-13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Stass agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. The changes were made in order to put them in proper idiomatic English.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)